

# Recent cases

Zoe Beviss

---

## T v S

The claimant was stationary at a junction awaiting to turn right when the defendant collided with the rear of his vehicle. As a consequence of the accident the defendant lost his life.

Liability was initially denied but as a consequence of our efforts we were able to secure an admission of responsibility.

The claimant suffered no physical injury but did suffer severe psychological trauma. I obtained a specialist report from an expert in psychiatry which established that my client was suffering from Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. Following the diagnosis I was able to arrange psychological treatment (at no cost to my client) to assist in helping him manage his symptoms. As a result he was able to achieve positive results and was able to return to his pre-accident level.

## B v P

The claimant was travelling on a motorway when a multiple collision took place. Responsibility for the accident was initially unclear due to the number of vehicles involved in the accident. Through our efforts we were able to establish responsibility at a relatively early stage.

The claimant suffered neck, shoulder and back injuries as well as a wrist fracture. Given the extent of the injuries, the claimant was unable to work for a significant period of time. As a result of our proactive approach to rehabilitation, we were able to arrange an extensive treatment package which assisted the claimant achieve a good recovery and ultimately return to work.

## A v C

The claimant was travelling late at night when the defendant, who was under the influence of alcohol, lost control of his vehicle and collided with the claimant's vehicle causing the claimant to hit a wall. The claimant's vehicle sustained extensive damage and was subsequently written off because of the impact. After the accident the claimant suffered a severe knee injury.

Expert evidence was obtained from an orthopaedic expert who recommended an MRI scan which we arranged. Upon review of the scan it was clear that the claimant required surgery. We were able to secure funding for surgery at a relatively early stage. Following physiotherapy the claimant made a full recovery.

---

## I v G

The claimant was a rear seat passenger in a vehicle when an artic lorry collided with the rear of the vehicle the claimant was travelling in. The force was significant. The claimant suffered severe back pain, significant scarring and travel anxiety.

We were able to arrange physiotherapy and psychotherapy which allowed the claimant to return to his normal daily living activities.

## D v S

The claimant was travelling on a main road when the defendant lost control of their vehicle collided with our claimant's vehicle causing the claimant's vehicle to roll, collide with a tree and come to rest upside down in a ditch. The defendant fled the scene.

The claimant suffered neck pain, back pain, facial scarring and several rib fractures. The Claimant also suffered severe travel anxiety to the extent she was unable to travel in a car.

Due the claimants anxieties travelling in a vehicle was not possible and therefore she was unable to attend work. Our focus was on securing an interim payment to assist the claimant in paying her household bills whilst she recovered from the accident.

Medical evidence from an orthopaedic expert, psychiatrist and maxillofacial surgeon was obtained and we were also able to facilitate private treatment for physiotherapy and psychotherapy.

The case continues.